



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

H7

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/938614	08/27/01	Kageyama	A 8319,004/P004

EXAMINER

LEONID SHAPIRO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2673

7

DATE MAILED:

11/8/04

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Bergman (3) LEONID SHAPIRO
(2) Vijay Shankar (4) _____

Date of Interview _____

Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 9-12Identification of prior art discussed: Probetech (5,952,948), Seong (6,335,721)
Okaeda (5,608,421)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Consideration of independent claims.in regard to Seong and Probetech reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.